

## Foundation Dam, Health fall 2017

### Analysis of the reviews of Example Reviewer

#### INELIGIBILITY, SHARE

<b>You</b>	<b>6 %</b>
<b>Average</b>	<b>10 %</b>
Lowest reviewer	0 %
Highest reviewer	26 %


You have considered 6% of your proposals as ineligible. This is in the lowest quarter compared to the committee as a whole. This means that you have considered few proposals as ineligible. This could be because you, by coincidence, got particularly many eligible proposals, but it could also be because you interpret the eligibility criteria too wide. Consider if this might be the case.

#### AVERAGE SCORE

<b>You</b>	<b>4,3</b>
<b>Average</b>	<b>6,3</b>
Lowest reviewer	7,2
Highest reviewer	4,3

The average score in your reviews is 4,3. This is in the lowest quarter compared to the committee as a whole. This could be because you got weaker proposals than the other reviewers, but it could also be an indication of you using the scale differently than your colleagues. If this is the case, it will affect the level of agreement. Take a look at the graph of your use of the scale and assess where you deviate the most from the average. Might that give you a hint?

#### USE OF RATING SCALE



#### AGREEMENT

In 16% of your reviews you agree with at least one of your colleagues. This is in the lowest quarter compared to the committee as a whole. There are several possible explanations for this. One could be that you interpret the guidelines differently than what is common among the other reviewers. Another could be that you use the scale differently. Maybe the graph says something about this?

